THE MAKING OF STEPHEN HAWKING

Hawking is held in high esteem. The media talk the common public into believing that Hawking is a genius. A genius is a person that provides highly valuable contributions in the best interest and benefit of mankind. However, what are the results of Hawking's life-time achievement? Well, less than nothing. So, can we label Hawking as genius or is he more of an imposter? It seems that Hawking is just a label to promote nonsensical theories such as Big Bang theory, dark matter theory, dark energy theory, "black hole" theory, cosmic inflation theory and plenty of other dubious theories. Just a few people know that the vehement promotion of these theories is primarily of sociocultural and even political interest rather than of scientific interest!

What is behind these dubious theories? Who is interested in deceiving mankind? What is behind the dubious "genius" Stephen Hawking?

After World War II the hot spot of astrophysical science shifted away from Europe towards the United States of America with the result that physical science has been degenerated into a playground of *esoterism* and *deceit*, which unfortunately does not attract the attention of the common public due to lack of natural scientific knowledge.

The following is like a flashback to the dark ages. Our "enlightened" present is just an illusion. Currently, lies and deception provide the basis of so-called "modern cosmology" with the intention to hoax the global public and to install a kind of "cosmic religion". Over decades the whole world has been duped by means of uncountable science and media reports and plenty of TV-documentaries, particularly in the fields of *cosmology* and *particle physics*. Perfidiously, the scientists themselves – except for a few sound physicists – don't consider themselves too good for "playing dirty", because they fear the deprivation of research funds or the loss of career opportunities and privileges if they do not follow the mainstream path, prescribed by an Anglo-American "mainstreamsmithy", consisting of a conglomerate of several US-American and British institutions and interest groups.

A kind of dictatorial rectification has expatiated over decades like a spreading cancer. Some "scientists" deliberately lead the people astray

just in order to enjoy financial advantages or a kind of media "cult status". The noble path of independent science has been left a long time ago. This has led to scandalous "research" in the fields of astrophysical science and elementary particle physics. The scientists make a mockery of themselves. Newton, Einstein, Max Planck and Karl Schwarzschild would turn over in their graves if they saw the degeneration of cosmological science. Some "scientists", like the Israeli physicist Mordehai Milgrom, are not above questioning millionfold reviewed physical laws like Newton's law of motion with the help of which costly satellites have been fired accurately into space since decades. And this just due to the fact that the galaxies of our universe are supposed to burst apart without the influence of a mysterious magical force, caused by a so-called "dark matter". Astrophysicists purport that the *rotational dynamics of galaxies* are comparable with the *orbital dynamics of planets*, thus, with Kepler's third law, which is total humbug (consult video III on our website). Scientists doubt the fundamental and millionfold verified law of insuperability of speed of light and even question the validity of all empirically determined universal constants, which in turn leads inevitably to a loss of empiricism within the scope of science and makes science a speculative guessing game.

Just one example of such a treacherous procedure was the intent of a dubious "group of scholars" named *OPERA-Team* that led to a worldwide media hype. This team in autumn 2011 let on without any shame that they (allegedly) measured "*superluminal neutrinos*" by means of a "highly precise measurement process" at the *Large Hadron Collider CERN*. Each sound physicist knows, of course, that this is impossible, as according to the theory of relativity, a mass, however small, would get infinitely large while approaching speed of light. The fraud, of course, came to light. This was a highly embarrassing attempt to ignore physical laws. The *OPERA-Project* was shelved in December 2012. However, millions of tax revenues had flown.

One of the leading German newspapers *"Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung"* stagily accompanied this science scandal on **October 6, 2011** with the following announcement:

"Neutrinos faster than light? Einstein trembles"

"A constant for eternity: speed of light. Einstein's theory of relativity is based on it as well as our entire worldview. Physicists have measured once again and detected: There is a faster possibility – with the help of neutrinos. Is this an unknown anomaly?"

The denial followed about one year later. The German newspaper **"Die Welt"** wrote on **July 8, 2012**:

"Now official: Neutrinos not faster than light"

"In the fall of 2011, researchers claimed to have measured neutrinos moving faster than light. But not that fast it turned out, it was all a lie. The particles adhered to Einstein's speed limit."

This is only *one* of several examples which disclose the insidious intention to remove empirical science in the field of *cosmology* and *particle physics* so that esoterism finds way into science. As the fewest people are skilled in the fields of astrophysics and particle physics, these attempts to deceive usually do not attract attention to the general public. Whenever the media proclaim with pomp and circumstances so-called "scientific sensations" in the form of worldwide media hypes - shivering with excitement - alertness is necessary.

For decades a mystification - mainly in the field of cosmology - has developed, which has nothing in common with empirical science but deception. Most astrophysicists genuinely claim that the observable, measurable universe represents merely 5 percent of the entire universe. A whopping 95 percent of the universe is supposed to persist of mystical "dark energy" and "dark matter". This totally nonscientific and unproven humbug is spread by means of uncountable TV-documentaries, until it counts as scientifically examined and proven in the eyes of the general public. The already mentioned "dark matter" is claimed to be caused by mystical "dark elementary particles" which are supposed to not correlating with "ordinary matter". Despite this strange feature, the mystical dark matter is supposed to "stabilize" the universe. The magical "dark energy" in turn is supposed to provide the energy for the universe's expansion, a universe that allegedly emerged from a Big Bang which has never been proven, this, in spite of the fact that the true nature of the universe was - without any doubt - verifiably described about one hundred years ago by **Albert Einstein** and the Dutch physicist **De Sitter** on the basis of the theory of relativity and on **Max Planck's** quantum mechanics. Astrophysicists fool the world's public into believing in a pseudo-scientific mystical spectacle. These "astrophysicists" should give up their profession!

Most of the astrophysicists seriously claim that so-called "cosmic black holes" (whose possibility of existence is excluded due to Einstein's theory of relativity) could function as a kind of "star gate" (wormhole) to other places of our universe or even to other universes. Such preposterous misinformation in the field of cosmology is not conspicuous to most people because they do not have basic knowledge in physics. As long ago as 1916, the German physicist Karl Schwarzschild derived the true nature of alleged "black holes" on the basis of Einstein's general theory of relativity - entirely eliminating the possibility of "black holes", singularities and wormholes. Anyway, the "scientists" themselves do not hesitate to spread such deceitfulness although they (should) know better. They are too afraid of interfering with the "mainstream dictate". Even the international media do not get tired of escorting the pseudo scientific, esoteric humbug and putting it in circulation. What do we have science journalists for?

Every time the general public is led into believing that only scientists are in a position of understanding the world in its whole due to their "excellent" education or even their inherent "ingenuity" (Stephen Hawking), always alertness is necessary. This is very dangerous. A blind trustfulness in scientists opens the flood gates of any type of deception and manipulation. Stephen Hawking, who is talked up as a genius, does not consider himself too good to catch the attention of the media in order to try to invalidate God. However, if you define God with the term *everything*, it is impossible to invalidate God, as *everything*/ God obviously exists. Just take a look around. This is a simple logic that proves God undoubtedly, right? Thus, the term "God" is first and foremost a question of definition. Science is merely a means to observe/ measure and describe existing things in form of mathematical models. However, science is not a means to prove the sense of existence itself. Attempts of such an outrageous undertaking should be reserved for the fields of philosophy and theology rather than for empirical science. By means of empirical science it is impossible to figure out the sense

of existence and thereby the *why*-question. Solely the reply to the *how*question is part of empirical science. Just a few people are aware of the fact that **Stephen Hawking** seems to be a kind of "scientific flashbang" that "puffs" unmistakably without generating any substantial "shock wave", or, to put it another way, Hawking enjoys a kind of cult status, but he actually does not contribute any sound and substantial scientific results to cosmological discussion. A flashbang prevents the clear view on things and is a means of camouflage. Hawking acts as a kind of imposter, establishing misguiding theories of a mystical cosmos, in which 95% mystical forces are supposed to stabilize the universe. He has not achieved anything but humbug in the field of astrophysics, for example, the postulation of a so-called Hawking radiation that allegedly arises from impossible "cosmic black holes". As already mentioned, it was proven a hundred years ago, that the theory of relativity clearly prohibits the existence of "cosmic black holes". According to the theory of "black holes" high-mass collapsing burned out stars shrink into a state of nothingness, a so-called singularity. The idea of "black holes" was originally a intuitive idea of the Indian Brahmin Subrahmanyan Chandrasekhar (he conceived this idea as a 19-year-old on a cruise from India to England, where he later on studied astronomy under Sir Arthur Eddington). He tried to underpin his intuitive, religio-philosophical idea by means of classical physics. This attempt was defeated by his professor Sir Arthur Eddington.

With regard to Hawking there are only two options: or he has no knowledge whatsoever in the field of relativistic physics or he is deliberately defrauding the world. There is no other option. This testimony might appear as disrespectful, however, Hawking, as an educated physicist, ought to know thoroughly what is going on. Maybe he scrupled when he, at the beginning of 2014, told the flabbergasted "experts" that there might not be any "black holes" within the universe, as there is a small but significant problem with the law of energy conservation. If something falls "into" a "black hole" it escapes from the universe and disappears into a state of nothingness, yet this is not allowed. Anyway, Hawking founded his Hawking radiation on this nonsense. In principle, it is dubious to argue that something arises from nothingness (Big Bang) or vanishes into nothingness (black holes) as this goes against the fundamental law of energy conservation. Mr. Hawking should know that.

It took the "cosmology guru" a whole 40 years of "research work" to determine the impossibility of "black holes". This is a really impressive evidence of incapacity. On August 25, 2015, the "genius" (Hawking) presented - with a great load of followers of the world's press, in front of chosen "experts" of the KTH Royal Institute of Technology in Stockholm - a solution to the problem that "black holes" contravene the fundamental law of energy conservation , pretending as if it were a scientific sensation and a result of an ingenious brainstorm. However, exactly the same solution was already published by the German physicist Karl Schwarzschild in 1916 (a whole 100 years ago!), called <u>Schwarzschild solution</u>. Strangely, Hawking did not say a word about his obsolete *Hawking radiation*. "Black holes" are the basis of Hawking radiation on the wrong assumption that "black holes" are leading into the nothingness of a singularity. Well, a genius does not make any mistakes.

How long will it take until Hawking stumbles over his *Hawking radiation* and until he sinks from his high pedestal into scientific insignificance. It seems as if Hawking is becoming a victim of the spirits he once used to cite in order to help him to rise onto the high pedestal of a genius, which albeit implies the possibility of sinking very deep. He now has to admit ruefully that 40 years of "research work" were absolutely pointless. Hawking is anything but a genius.

When did the manipulation in the field of cosmology begin?

In the 1920's a widespread, religious motivated manipulation of scientific facts began, that is still going on in a scandalous manner. Superficially, it was the **Catholic Church**, which used its power in order to expressly underline a cosmological worldview that was in compliance with the Book of genesis (Big Bang Theory). This bible-believing worldview was supposed to "scientifically" confirm the first Book of Genesis and to claim the spiritual and political power of the Church. It was a hysteric reaction of the Vatican to the fundamental findings of a young "Jewish upstart scientist" by the name of **Albert Einstein**. In those days an anti-Jewish attitude was more than normal in the clergy. Einstein actually dared to doubt the genesis. From the viewpoint of the Catholic Church of the early 20th century this bordered on blasphemy. (Einstein was a scientific Nobody before publishing his theory of relativity. He changed his German citizenship for a Swiss one and was forced to get taken on as a subaltern officer of the patent office of Bern, as he was avoided

in Germany and it was impossible for him to find suitable work in his home country)

The described manipulation is still going on and keeps on contributing to non-scientific humbug that is always accompanied by the media with pomp and circumstances although the Catholic Church is not involved anymore. However, there is another group of dubious backers, which are interested in perpetuating a completely wrong and esoteric worldview for whatever reasons. It is to be feared that cosmological "research" and elementary particle "research" once again are misused in order to serve as a means to establish a kind of "cosmic religion". This again might explain the media hype in view of the so-called "God particle", also called "Higgs particle", due to its "founder", the physicist Peter Higgs. The Higgs particle is supposed to be the missing part of the puzzle to explain the material world without presuming "God". This, of course, is complete nonsense, as the whole existence and the sense of being cannot be empirically explained or proven by means of a mystic "God particle". This humbug is reflected in the US-American documentary "Particle fever - Unravel the mysteries of the Large Hadron". If one wants to explore the sense of being, there is only one way: Ask God. He would probably answer: "I did it my way!"

Another media hype was triggered at the beginning of the year 2014, when a group of "astrophysicists" of the microwave telescope Bicep II (South Pole) proudly announced to have measured so-called gravitational waves - an alleged echo of the Big Bang - indirectly, which turned out to be nonsense as such a measurement contravenes the laws of physics. Gravitational waves were indeed described by Einstein, but they are "vibrations" of the space-time system itself, which cannot be felt or measured by us due to the simple fact that we and our measurement facilities are part of our space-time system and "vibrate" equally. Gravitational waves are a non-detectable, logical but purely theoretical, relativistic effect. Finally, the Bicep II team had to disclaim its supposed "measurements" (intents of fraud?) afterwards, as they were pure nonsense. The team members confessed that a "mistake" was made. However, radio, TV, print and other media published this humbug with roar. A certain Mr. Uwe Reichert, chief editor of the German astronomical magazine "Sterne und Weltraum" (Publisher: Spektrum der Wissenschaft), stated the following dubious headlines on March 17, 2014:

"Big Bang: First prove of cosmic inflation"

"For the first time astronomers recorded signals from a time shortly after the Big Bang: The experiment BICEP2 at the South Pole observed gravitational waves in the cosmic background radiation that date back to the early phase of the universe. This is a direct prove for the cosmic model of inflation".

By Uwe Reichert.

Uwe Reichert appears on the staff list of the *Max Planck Institute for Astronomy*. Thus, he is part of the center of German astronomical research and spreads, without any sound validation, the mainstream opinion of an alleged Big Bang. This is an evidence of incapacity. The whole scenario puts a poor light on the Max Planck Institute and raises the question what has become of this renowned institution. The Max Planck Institute discredits the name of Max Planck, his scientific life-time achievement and his scientific integrity. This is exactly how systematic misguidance of the general public takes place. The entire misinformation sticks in the public`s memory, despite some abashed denials afterwards. The process is hard to beat for impudence - as well as the alleged detection of superluminal neutrinos (faster than light) – and can without any doubt be called a fraud.

The German newspaper **"Die Zeit"** wrote on occasion of the alleged "discovery" of superluminal neutrinos in **February 2015**:

"The sensational discovery has crumbled into dust"

"Researchers declared in spring 2014 loudly to have furnished evidence for gravitational waves. Now they sing small: We exaggerated."

Oh well, "exaggerated". With such a sweet innocence they explain the inevitable fact of a science fraud!

Why does cosmology (macrocosm) as well as particle physics (microcosm) appear so interesting to esoterics of the Anglo-American "mainstream smithy" and what is the *socio-cultural relevance* of these two physical research sectors?

The macrocosm and the microcosm are difficult or impossible to grasp

for the human imagery and furthermore associated with the essential question: "Why are we? Thus, the research of macrocosm and microcosm provide field of activity for esoteric groups and alleged "do-gooders". In our era of high tech research we are inclined to believe that insights in the field of cosmology and particle physics are not associated with esoteric or socio-cultural and even political interests but with sound science. Far from it! Science is, as in ancient times, an instrument of power. In ancient times the cosmos was far less of scientific interest, but more of religious interest and consequently of socio-cultural and political interest for the "ruling class". Considering the religions of ancient civilizations, they were mostly based on the cosmos and its recurring phenomena. The social system of those cultures was orientated accordingly. The ancient Egyptians, for example, worshiped *Isis (God the Mother)* and Osiris (God the Father). Every Pharaoh was "cosmically begotten" and "born" as Horus (Son of God) during a sacerdotal ceremony at a specific celestial constellation. Hence, every Pharaoh was given the Egyptian name affix Mose (the Born). Examples for this are Amose, Kmose, Tutmose, Ramose (Ramses) etc. Sounds familiar? It is reminiscent of the Holy Trinity and the biblical Moses, does it not? Isis was worth a star sign that we nowadays know as *Canis Major* and *Osiris* is well known as the star sign of **Orion**.

In the Thirty Years' War, the Catholic Albrecht Wenzel Eusebius von Wallenstein - who fought on behalf of the Roman Catholic Church - asked the Protestant theologian and astronomer Johannes Kepler to cast horoscopes regularly. These horoscopes were an essential planning component of Wallenstein's warfare on behalf of the Holy Roman Emperor of the German Nation. Well, the end justifies the means. Even nowadays horoscopes are, for a greater part of people, deemed as scientifically sound. The ancient cultures of the Sumerian, Egyptian, Aztec, Inca and Maya and even the ancient Roman and Greek cultures were based on the phenomena of the cosmos. This is still true for Christianity. Nativity is celebrated when the sun leaves the southernmost point on the sun's ecliptic towards the north, after the sun seems to have remained three days on the deepest point of the ecliptic of the sun. This also represents the Resurrection of Jesus, who - according to Christianity - was dead for three days and then resurrected. Thus, the Resurrection is based on a mere astronomical event. The Christian Easter feast is celebrated on the first Sunday after the first full moon after the sun's passage through the vernal equinox. The fewest Christians

know about these facts.

But besides that, religions can be instrumentalized easily in order to enforce (often violently) socio-cultural and political interests. Thus, religions are a very important means of the rules. All systems of rule need "religion" as a means to influence people for their interests. Exactly for that reason some very powerful groups of esoterics are interested to install a kind of "cosmic religion" and to make science an esoteric playground. It's a highly regrettable tragedy that the scientists themselves join this dirty game.

How the influence of the Roman Catholic Church and the British/ US-American alliance change the cosmological doctrine?

When Albert Einstein drew a totally new image of the cosmos in 1915/16 - in accordance with the laws of physics - the Vatican was alarmed. "A Jew" of all people actually doubted the "genuineness" of the biblical Genesis. Einstein postulated an everlasting universe without **beginning or ending.** As a consequence, the biblical act of creation was not an option anymore, thus, there was no need for a beginning of the universe or any kind of creation ex nihilo like a Bing Bang. From the Church's standpoint a blasphemous conjecture and a direct attack on the Christian foundation! However, for Einstein - based on his calculations - a clearly defined extent of the universe was beyond debate. According to Einstein, the space of the universe was curved like a globe and had to persist since ever. Thus, the universe did not begin with an act of creation as described in the Bible. In the ears of the Roman Curia. whose mind-set was still in a medieval state in those days (before the Second Vatican Council), this, of course, sounded like heresy. Similar to Galileo's doubts in regard to the "godly system of the skies", whereby the earth was the center of the cosmos, Einstein's thoughts were heretical and an outrageous assault to a central, vital nerve of the Roman Catholic Church. Einstein had to swear off his blasphemous thoughts. But how could the Church contrive this? Had it been possible to torture or even burn him- as it was a common practice in the dark ages -, Einstein's fingernails would have been torn out one by one and a lot of dry wood would have been collected so that the fire flared well.

Well, it was not really possible to burn Einstein but to silence him in some way or, at least, to do everything in order to marginalize Einstein's

theory of the universe. An "anti-theory" to Einstein's theory of a "steady state universe" was needed, preferably, an imposing and breathtaking "fireworks theory", conformable to the biblical act of creation, which could have caused to blush even God. Without hesitation the Belgian priest and mathematician George Lemaitre was brought to the scene and was sent to MIT (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) with pontifical approval, to get the astrophysical "blessings". In those days the United States were still innocent in the field of astrophysics and the American scientists were regarded as a kind of "scientific ragamuffins" and nobody wanted to "play" with them. Next to the scientific hot spot Europe, the United States of America were well below average. The ones that could not find a job in Europe went to the United States. This was possible due to a **Rockefeller scholarship**. Rockefeller did not only want to equalize the disparity between the United States and Europe through the "purchase" of scientists. His far-sighted interest was the scientific dominance and, furthermore, the dominance of the United States in all aspects. This was an extremely clever intention. As you know, knowledge is power and no knowledge "brings people to heel" and makes the people submissive. Oppression of knowledge and the spread of wrong information is one of the most important foundations of purposeful manipulation in order to subject people.

The, at the MIT gained astrophysical "blessings" were supposed to give George Lemaitre the scientific reputation as an "expert" in the field of cosmology. In the year 1927, Lemaitre postulated his "primeval-atom **model**["]. This model conveyed that the universe originally began in form of a "primeval-atom" that subsequently expanded, thus, the universe's "beginning" was, accordingly, an act of creation, conformable to the biblical act of creation. Today we know this primeval-atom theory as the Big Bang Theory. A fateful misinterpretation by the American astronomer Edwin Hubble (who later confessed his mistake) was the naive and amateurish basis (Doppler effect) in order to explain the observed red shift of the light of far off galaxies. This misinterpretation eventually manifested Lemaitre's "primeval-atom model". As per Hubble's interpretation of his observations the observed red shift of the light of the galaxies is solely based on classical physics (Doppler effect) neglecting relativistic laws. This led to the fatal misinterpretation that the universe is expanding.

Well, the observed red shift of far off galaxies is a totally normal relativistic effect that is caused by the gravitational potential of the

entire mass of the universe. Einstein knew this exactly, Hubble initially did not. However, Lemaitre's model of "Genesis", the basis of today's Big Bang Theory, did not find even a little support amongst Einstein and the European physicists at that time. The humbug was chuckled about. Hubble eventually recognized his mistake, which took place due to lack of knowledge of relativistic effects, and spoke vehemently against the theory of an expanding universe (which is not much known and not intended to be revealed). However, this was not in the interest of the Roman Catholic Church. Edwin Hubble is still celebrated, together with Lemaitre, as pioneer of an alleged "modern cosmology", although Edwin Hubble renounced his own expansion-theory during his lifetime. Einstein and all essential representatives of physical science were amused at Lemaitre's "Genesis theory", they found it utterly irrelevant, highly dilettante, and even childlike naive. For Lemaitre's primevalatom there was only a tired smile left. Einstein told Lemaitre literally: "Your calculations are right, but your physics is awful!" The intent of the Roman Catholic Church, to challenge Einstein's relativistic model of an unchangeable and not expanding universe, had initially flopped in front of the levelheaded experts.

Unfortunately, the then "astro-pope" Sir Arthur Stanley Eddington (a conservative, Bible-believing Quaker) supported the theory of an expanding universe, although he was in the know of Einstein's steady state theory, which explained the red shift of the light of far off galaxies as a gravitational effect. We have to call to mind that Eddington's observation of the solar eclipse in 1919 substantiated Einstein's general theory of relativity, which in turn brought Einstein into prominence. Obviously, Eddington decided for the Bible and against sound scientific facts. The following quotations are indicative of Eddington's inner conflict, which arose on the one hand from his knowledge of relativistic and quantum physical effects, thus, of Einstein's model of the universe, and on the other hand from Eddington's firm belief, that the bible represents the absolute divine truth without a shred of a doubt.

"Lemaitres paper seems to me very obscure, but I have had the advantage of verbal explanations from the author" (page 52 "The expanding universe, 1933, Sir Arthur Eddington)

"But the theory of the expanding universe is in some respect so preposterous that we naturally hesitate to commit ourselves to it. It contains elements apparently so incredible that I feel almost an indignation that anyone should believe in it - except myself." (page 86/87 "The expanding universe", 1933, Sir Arthur Eddington)

"I have much more sympathy with those critics who deny the nebular recession altogether, believing the observed radial velocities to be spurious" (page 86 "The expanding universe" 1933, Sir Arthur Eddington)

"Thus the only way of avoiding a great upset of ideas would be to explain away these radial velocities as spurious...For example, the light coming to us from an atom on the sun uses up some of its energy in escaping from the sun's gravitational attraction, and consequently becomes slightly reddened...; this is the well-known shift predicted by Einstein."

(page 15/16 "The expanding universe" 1933, Sir Arthur Eddington)

When Adolf Hitler assumed power in Germany in 1933, the world-famous Albert Einstein became overnight a politically unwelcome person, a persona non grata, in Germany and later on in Europe. Einstein had to fear for his life. Thus, he had become susceptible to blackmail. Therefore, it is not surprising that Einstein left Germany for good accompanied by the priest George Lemaitre (remember, some years ago Einstein had accused him of doing awful physics) about one month before Hitler's takeover, under the pretext of doing a lecture tour in the United States. Most of the Americans felt obligated to Christianity. In a word, the influence of the Roman Catholic Church was also marked in the United States and made it easy to push Albert Einstein into scientific insignificance. Refuge in the United States with a nice remuneration as a professor at the beautiful *University of Princeton*, against a generous concession regarding Lemaitre's "primevalatom model"! That was the way it worked. Einstein was checkmated and sat in a golden cage.

Just after Einstein's arrival, the Director of the University of Princeton indicated to him that one expected political compliance of Einstein: "You are very welcome but please hold your tongue. Your personal safety depends on your absolute discretion." Einstein felt very isolated at the placid University: "It is most odd to feel so isolated despite being so famous, however, fact is, that this kind of popularity urges me to vindicate myself, which finally leads to isolation." The University of Princeton was totally against Einstein's nature and he never felt at home their. He said: "Princeton is a quaint village, populated by demigods on stilts and very cerimonial." That is how it came that Einstein became a victim of religion, esoterics and alleged "do-gooders" of a "new world order".

George Lemaitre introduced his "Genesis model" (primeval-atom model) in front of an attentive audience during his visit in the United States (just before Hitler's takeover). Awkward silence and puzzled faces spread after Lemaitre's speech, until somebody applauded and shouted out into the hall: "This is the most beautiful creation story I've ever heard!" This "someone" was no less a figure than Albert Einstein himself. All the persons present turned their heads and could not believe what they saw. As the genius Einstein was applauding, Lemaitre's embarrassing primeval model could obviously not be as odd as believed, could it? Eventually, the whole audience applauded. Thus, Albert Einstein had made the Belgian Priest George Lemaitre and his absurd "primeval-atom model" "scientifically" acceptable, so to say with "pontifical blessing" (or should we better say with pontifical pressure?).

It is utterly important to conceive that the Big Bang theory is primarily of socio-cultural and even political interest rather than of scientific interest! That is the real unspoken reason why the Big Bang theory has been promoted since about 80 years and, furthermore, the true reason why any kind of criticism of Big Bang theory is vehemently quashed. One seems to have forgotten that doubts and controversial debate are vital elements of independent science and not strict obedience! The embarrassing Big Bang theory is vaunted as the greatest achievement of human brain work although this "theory" blatantly contravenes plenty of physical laws. This theory is an imposition and an insult to human intelligence. Einstein's position in respect of the Big Bang theory was shaped by convincing passiveness until his death, totally aware that this model had no sound relativistic foundation but was just based on a plain, classical interpretation, on the classical Dopplereffect. Potentially, Einstein did not believe that such a humbug could stand the test of time. He put complete confidence in the scientific capabilities of his colleagues and the implementation of sound physics by his colleagues. As it turned out, he was wrong. In the beginning there was, of course, still a considerable resistance against the Big Bang Theory, but with the passing of the decades this resistance became more and more marginalized. Scientists that spoke up against the Big Bang theory felt professional disadvantages or did not get any research funds anymore. The consequence: "Big Bang opponents" were increasingly

isolated and discredited. Most of the astrophysicists are afraid of arguing against the Big Bang theory for fear of losing their jobs or of losing their privileges. The Anglo-American "mainstream smithy" even dictates the direction in which the herd of particle physicists has to walk, especially the particle physicists of the CERN in Switzerland. Unfortunately, the whole humbug, dictated by the "mainstream smithy", is swallowed by a herd of shy, uncritical Yes-men and eagerly spread by the compliant media. This is simply scandalous. In what time are we living! The dark ages send their regards. Today the Roman Catholic Church does not care about whether the universe has been created by a Big Bang, or not. It has renounced involvement in this matter and left the court of misinformation. The question comes up why certain interest groups are interested in spreading misinformation. Perhaps, in order to conceal having told humbug for more than 80 years might play a role. That would be too embarrassing, indeed. However, the true background is buried in the dark but we can conjecture that there is more behind it, nothing goods. The Big Bang theory is reflexively defended and promoted ad nauseam with spasmodic, missionary zeal, or, as one might say, with religious fervour . Just this kind of obdurateness indicates that a dubious interest group of "political esoterics" has substantial interest in keeping the Big Bang theory alive at any price.

All mentioned pseudo-cosmological theories like the *Big Bang Theory*, the theory of *dark matter* and *dark energy*, as well as the theory of "black holes" and cosmic inflation are imaginative, non-scientific nonsense, violating laws of physics. The mentioned "phenomena" do not exist, as simple as that! In the year 2004, 34 astrophysicists (professors) from 10 nations were fed up. They rebelled and vent their displeasure against the total humbug created in the field of astrophysical "research". They did this in form of an open letter and called their statement cosmology statement. It was obvious that the brave "astro-mutineers" found themselves in the safe haven of pension. They could not lose their jobs and did not underlie the unspoken absolute dictate of obedience in the field of the "astrophysical science apparatus". This fact impressively shows how intimidated the cosmologists are.

What about you? Do you really think that you are contributing to the best interest and benefit of mankind?